

HF Radar and Near-Shore Buoy Ocean Wave Measurement

<u>SIENA DANTE¹</u>, ALAN COPE², AND DR. HUGH ROARTY³ ¹STUDENT RESEARCHER WITH NOAA CENTER FOR EARTH SYSTEM SCIENCES AND REMOTE SENSING TECHNOLOGIES (CREST) ²SCIENCE AND OPERATIONS OFFICER, NATIONAL WEATHER SERVICE, MOUNT HOLLY, NJ ³RESEARCH PROJECT MANAGER, CENTER FOR OCEAN OBSERVING LEADERSHIP, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

1. INTRODUCTION

The National Weather Service (NWS) currently uses wave data collected from nearshore buoys as part of their operational marine forecast process. However, the buoys collect data only for a very few point locations. To supplement the buoy data and provide data for larger areas of the ocean, High frequency (HF) radar can be used.

The main purpose of this study is to develop a better understanding of characteristic strengths and limitations of the HF radar wave data over the northern New Jersey coastal waters so the NWS can use the data with confidence.

2. METHODS

- The primary HF radar sites analyzed were Sea Bright, Seaside Park, and Brant Beach. The primary buoys used in this study were buoy 44065, east of Sandy Hook, and buoy 44091, east of Barnegat Light (Figs. 1, 2, & 3).
- An important aspect of this project is evaluating the data's reliability, so statistics were produced on the frequency of missing (Fig. 4) and erroneous data (Fig. 5).
- Hourly data on wave height and other wave parameters were analyzed and compared to similar wave data from near-shore buoys (Figs. 6 & 7).
- The data was organized by source, time periods, and for HF radar, by various distances from the shore (range cells).
- More specific analysis of the data was conducted for time periods during which events, such as coastal storms, occurred (Figs. 8, 9, & 10).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: This study is supported and monitored by The National Oceanic and Remote Sensing Technologies under the Cooperative Agreement Grant #: NA16SEC4810008. The author(s) would like to thank The City College of New York and NOAA Office of Educational Partnership Program with Minority Serving Institutions (EPP/MSI) for support for Siena Dante. The statements contained within the poster are not the opinions of the funding agency or the U.S. government, but reflect the author's opinions.



Fig. 1: Locations of 3 HF Radar Sites and 2 Near-Shore Buoys



Fig. 2: Sea Bright HF Radar Site







Fig. 3: Aerial View of Sea Bright HF Radar site



Fig. 5: June Wave Heights Showing Erroneous "Spikes"

• Wave height trends from the HF radar sites generally correspond to buoy observations, especially for building seas ahead of a storm approaching from the south (onshore wind).

• HF radar wave heights often drop off too quickly compared to buoy wave heights after a storm passes to the north of New Jersey (offshore winds). • In some cases, HF radar wave heights behind a storm (offshore winds) increase with distance from shore, as expected. However, this seems to be

more the exception than the rule.

• HF radar wave heights are more frequently missing when seas are relatively low (<1m). This is a tolerable error because operational monitoring focuses more on higher waves.

• Comparing wave heights for HF radar site SPRK vs. buoy 44091, there was a steady improving trend from February through June.

3. RESULTS





Fig. 7: Correlation Between Seaside Park and Buoy 44091 Data, March 2018

4. CONCLUSIONS





Fig. 10: Blizzard of January 4, 2018 (courtesy of NWS)