Mixing and phytoplankton dynamics in a submarine canyon in the West Antarctic Peninsula
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Abstract Bathymetric depressions (canyons) exist along the West Antarctic Peninsula shelf and have been linked with increased phytoplankton biomass and sustained penguin colonies. However, the physical mechanisms driving this enhanced biomass are not well understood. Using a Slocum glider data set with over 25,000 water column profiles, we evaluate the relationship between mixed layer depth (MLD, estimated using the depth of maximum buoyancy frequency) and phytoplankton vertical distribution. We use the glider deployments in the Palmer Deep region to examine seasonal and across canyon variability. Throughout the season, the ML becomes warmer and saltier, as a result of vertical mixing and advection. Shallow ML and increased stratification due to sea ice melt are linked to higher chlorophyll concentrations. Deeper mixed layers, resulting from increased wind forcing, show decreased chlorophyll, suggesting the importance of light in regulating phytoplankton productivity. Spatial variations were found in the canyon head region where local physical water column properties were associated with different biological responses, reinforcing the importance of local canyon circulation in regulating phytoplankton distribution in the region. While the mechanism initially hypothesized to produce the observed increases in phytoplankton over the canyons was the intrusion of warm, nutrient enriched modified Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (mUCDW), our analysis suggests that ML dynamics are key to increased primary production over submarine canyons in the WAP.

1. Introduction

The cross-shelf canyon systems in the West Antarctic Peninsula (WAP) are considered biological “hotspots” because they are associated with penguin chick rearing locations [Erdmann et al., 2011; Fraser and Trivelpiece, 1996]. The association of penguin colonies with deep submarine canyons has led to the hypothesis that phytoplankton productivity is enhanced as a result of water column dynamics in the canyon heads [Schofield et al., 2013]. The presence of the UCDW has been linked to increased phytoplankton productivity [Kavanaugh et al., 2015; Prézelin et al., 2000; Prézelin et al., 2004] which supports a productive regional food web [Schofield et al., 2010], yet the physical mechanisms driving phytoplankton blooms in these canyons are not well understood.

These canyons allow UCDW to penetrate across the shelf, providing warmer [Martinson and McKee, 2012; Martinson et al., 2008] and nutrient-enriched water to mix with coastal surface waters [Arrigo et al., 2015; Prézelin et al., 2000; Prézelin et al., 2004]. The presence of these canyons has been connected to locally increased sea surface temperature (SST), reduced sea ice coverage, and increased diatom biomass [Kavanaugh et al., 2015]. Using a model, Allen et al. [2001] showed that the formation of an eddy over the head of a canyon trapped passive particles such as phytoplankton and small zooplankton in that location.
Globally, light and nutrients are key drivers of a bloom, but their relative importance in primary production depends on the region and the role of local stratification. Light is a key factor regulating phytoplankton growth in polar regions, including the WAP. Several studies have linked shallower mixed layer depths (MLD), which increases the overall light available to phytoplankton [Holm-Hansen and Mitchell, 1991; Mitchell and Holm-Hansen, 1991; Moline and Prezelin, 1996; Sakshaug et al., 1991], with increased phytoplankton biomass, especially diatoms [Fragoso and Smith, 2012]. Increased irradiance and vertical stratification have also been positively correlated with increased diatom biomass [Mitchell and Holm-Hansen, 1991; Nelson and Smith, 1991], especially during early spring season [Fragoso and Smith, 2012]. Macronutrients are generally abundant throughout the WAP [Ducklow et al., 2012; Serebrennikova and Fanning, 2004] and although they show marked seasonality [Clarke et al., 2008], in most cases they do not seem to limit phytoplankton growth [Holm-Hansen and Mitchell, 1991]. Micronutrients such as iron do not seem to limit primary production in the coastal waters of the WAP where canyon heads are located either [Annett et al., 2015; Helbling et al., 1991; Martin et al., 1990], but available data are limited.

It is important to understand the link between some of the physical drivers, like stratification and MLD, and phytoplankton dynamics as the higher trophic levels are dependent on primary producers [Schofield et al., 2010]. In this work, we characterize the phytoplankton dynamics in submarine canyons in the WAP using Palmer Deep Canyon (PD) as a focused study area. Here we describe, both temporally and spatially, the phytoplankton spring bloom at PD, using a 6 year Slocum glider dataset. The high spatial and temporal resolution sampling provides a detailed analysis of the phytoplankton and physical dynamics at the head of a submarine canyon in the WAP. While the mechanism initially hypothesized to produce the observed increases in phytoplankton over the canyons was the intrusion of warm, nutrient-enriched mUCDW [Prézelin et al., 2000; Prézelin et al., 2004; Schofield et al., 2013], our analysis suggests that ML dynamics are key to increased primary production over submarine canyons in the WAP.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Slocum Gliders

Slocum electric gliders are a robust tool to map in high-resolution the upper water column properties in different environments [Schofield et al., 2007] including polar regions [Kohut et al., 2013; Oliver et al., 2013; Schofield et al., 2013]. These 1.5 m torpedo-shaped buoyancy-driven autonomous underwater vehicles provide high-resolution surveys of the physical and bio-optical properties of the water column [Schofield et al., 2007]. Data were collected using both shallow (100 m depth range) and deep (1000 m) gliders. However, only data above 100 m were considered for this analysis as we are focusing on processes within the euphotic zone. All gliders were equipped with a Seabird Conductivity-Temperature-Depth (CTD) sensor and WET Labs Inc. Environmental Characterization Optics (ECO) pucks, which measured chlorophyll-a fluorescence, and optical backscatter at 470, 532, 660, and 700 nm. Glider based conductivity, temperature, and depth measurements were compared with a calibrated ship CTD sensor on deployment and recovery to ensure data quality, as well as with a calibrated laboratory CTD prior to deployment. Glider profiles were binned into 1 m bins and assigned a midpoint latitude and longitude.

2.2. Sampling Overview

Our analysis includes all available concurrent glider physical and biological profiles in the WAP region (Figure 1) where bathymetric depressions have been linked to deep-water intrusion onto the shelf, with a focus on the dynamics at PD. Overall, the data include 26,455 profiles, 265 deployment days, and 3,937 km flown. For comparison purposes, the WAP-shelf analysis excluded all the points in PD region (purple rectangle in Figure 1).

The deployments on the shelf along the WAP were part of the NSF Palmer-Long-Term Ecological Research Project (PAL-LTER) [Ducklow et al., 2007] effort, with the goal of understanding changes (1) in the entire WAP ecosystem with 26 deployments conducted throughout the peninsula from Anvers Island to Charcot Island (−64° to −69° latitude) and (2) with a focus on the PD region where Palmer Station is located. In the PD region (Figure 1, right), data were collected during six field seasons (2010–2015) over the austral summer as part of the NSF PAL-LTER and one field season (2014–2015) as part of the NSF CONVERGE Project [Kohut et al., 2014]. Gliders were deployed from Palmer Station (Anvers Island) with the goal of characterizing PD, focusing on the head of the canyon.
PD (Figure 1, right), a cross-shelf canyon bathymetrically similar to others in the WAP, is associated with large penguin colonies [Fraser and Trivelpiece, 1996; Schofield et al., 2013]. PD extends approximately 22 km in length and 10 km across with a maximum depth of 1420 m. Over the head of the canyon, there is evidence of increased primary production [Kavanaugh et al., 2015] and localized penguin foraging [Oliver et al., 2013]. Our study will describe glider data collected over varying spatial scales from the WAP shelf, to PD, and, at the smallest scale, the head of PD.

2.3. Mixed Layer Depth Estimation

For each profile, MLD was determined by finding the depth of the maximum water column buoyancy frequency, max (N²). A quality index (Equation 1) following Lorbacher et al. [2006] was used to quantify the uncertainty in the MLD estimate, and to filter out profiles where MLD was not resolved. Using

\[
Q_l = 1 - \frac{\text{rmsd}(\rho_k - \overline{\rho})|_{(H_1, H_{MLD})}}{\text{rmsd}(\rho_k - \overline{\rho})|_{(H_1, 1.5 \times H_{MLD})}}
\]

where \(\rho_k\) is the density at a given depth (k) and rmsd () denotes the standard deviation of from the vertical mean \(\overline{\rho}\) from \(H_1\), the first layer near the surface, to the MLD or 1.5xMLD. This index evaluates the quality of the MLD computation, where MLD was determined with certainty (QI > 0.8), determined but with some uncertainty (0.5 < QI < 0.8) or not determined (QI < 0.5). This index does not take into account the strength of stratification, rather it indicates that there is a homogeneous layer present and the MLD calculated is close to the lower boundary of that vertically uniform surface layer. Higher QI are observed during summer and fall, where sharp gradients at the base of the seasonal mixed layer are present [Lorbacher et al., 2006].

MLD criteria were tested and matched against the chlorophyll fluorescence data to evaluate whether the MLD definition chosen was capturing the biological observations (Figure 2). ML-averaged temperature and salinity were calculated by averaging all 1-m binned data points from the surface to the base of the ML.

2.4. Optical Measurements

2.4.1. ML-Averaged and Integrated Chlorophyll

Chlorophyll-\(\alpha\) (chl-\(\alpha\)) fluorescence, as measured by the glider ECO pucks, is our indicator of phytoplankton biomass. Discrete in situ water samples were collected from eight depths (0, 5, 10, 20, 35, 50, and 60 m) from CTD casts during each glider deployment and recovery. Water samples were filtered onto 25 mm Whatman GF/F filters and extracted using 90% acetone. Chl-\(\alpha\) concentration was then measured using a fluorometer and compared to its correspondent glider profiles. QA/QC methods were applied to the data to ensure data quality. Concurrent measurements of optical backscatter and chl-\(\alpha\) fluorescence were used to
correct for light-dependent effects. Given the high linear correlation found between backscatter and chlorophyll-o fluorescence ($R^2$ between 0.76 and 0.95 for all deployments), a correction was applied to the latter to account for nonphotochemical quenching [Behrenfeld et al., 2005]. Linear regressions were calculated by deployment using all the measurements taken between 20 and 40 m, below the light influenced chlorophyll values and above the possible sedimentary (deep) sources of backscatter. Slope and intercept were calculated and used to correct chlorophyll from the surface to the chlorophyll maximum in each profile. No chlorophyll maxima were found shallower than 15 m.

Integrated and averaged chlorophyll from our defined MLD to the surface were determined using the trapezoid method. Chl-o concentration was calculated for each 1 m bin and a cumulative value from the surface down to the MLD was calculated to determine the ML-integrated chlorophyll. The ML-averaged chlorophyll was determined by dividing the ML-integrated chlorophyll by the depth of the mixed layer.

2.4.2. Chlorophyll Depth

A model-2 regression was used to compare the MLD with the lower boundary of the surface chlorophyll fluorescence layer. Following a method adapted from the maximum angle principle [Chu and Fan, 2011], the depth of lower boundary of chlorophyll was estimated (referred to as chlorophyll depth in Figure 2). Here we apply the same principle using the maximum angle, as we are interested in calculating the depth at which the chlorophyll profile starts decreasing. Using a vector of $n = 7$ data points, the depth of the max ($\tan(\alpha)$) of the chlorophyll profile was determined and used as the chlorophyll depth.

2.5. Climatology

One of the main goals of this study is to characterize the physical setting and to map the seasonal phytoplankton dynamics at the head of the PD by taking advantage of the high spatial and temporal glider
coverage. Using a 6 year data set of glider deployments (13,972 profiles after all filters applied), MLDs were calculated for each individual profile and daily MLD averages were calculated for temperature, salinity and chlorophyll by averaging all the values between the surface and the base of the MLD.

Wind and Photosynthetic Available Radiation (PAR) data were collected from an automated weather station (AWS) at Palmer Station, on Anvers Island. Daily averages were calculated using 2 min data.

2.6. Seawater Iron Methods

Surface water was collected at LTER Station E (6.5 km NE of the head of PD), at eight time points between 5 January and 9 March 2015. Samples were cleanly collected in duplicate from a Zodiac inflatable boat using all-polypropylene syringes and filtered directly into 60 mL LDPE bottles (Nalgean) using 25mm Acrodisc (Pall) 0.45 μm pore size syringe filters, within minutes of sample collection. The resulting samples were stored at 4°C until arrival at Rutgers University, where they were acidified to pH—2.0 with ultrapure HCl (Fisher Optimax, concentration in seawater 0.012 M). The mean of the duplicates is reported if they agree within 15% (difference about the mean), otherwise the lower of the two values is reported.

Seawater samples were prepared for analysis of dissolved Fe and other trace metals at Rutgers University using the commercially available version of an automated preconcentration and matrix elimination system (SeaFAST pico®, ESI, Omaha, NB) which operates on the same principle as reported in Lagerström et al. [2013], and employs the method of isotope dilution, but collects eluates offline rather than directly analyzing online.

The eluate solutions, 25-fold concentrates of the trace metals in the sample but with greatly reduced major ion concentrations, were analyzed in medium resolution on a Thermo Element-1 HR-ICP-MS. Determined process blanks for Fe typically averaged 0.040 nM and precision was 1–3% standard deviation about the mean. Accuracy was verified by repeated analysis of reference seawater materials (SAFe S and D2, GEOTRACES S, and D), which showed agreement within one standard deviation of the consensus values.

2.7. Cross-Canyon Analysis

To better understand the across canyon spatial variability in MLD and chlorophyll, a 1 month long glider mission was designed with a repeated transect (yellow, Figure 1) that crossed the head of the canyon perpendicularly to its deep channel axis (64°48.7’S and 64°17.9’W to 64°53.7’S and 64°4.2’W, corresponding to the northern and southernmost extreme of the transect, respectively). Gliders used for this temporal/spatial study were both shallow gliders (ru05 and ud134) rated to 100 m. The first glider (ud134) was deployed 6 January 2015 and performed six full transects before ru05 took over its mission of surveying the head of the canyon. The second glider was recovered, brought back to Palmer Station, and redeployed twice more during its mission to replace batteries and resume the cross-canyon mission. Final recovery took place on 8 February 2015. Gliders repeated transects across the head of the canyon 39 times throughout their missions, taking an average of 16 h to complete each cross section. The orientation of PD was used to divide (Figure 1, white line) the head of the canyon into two regions, the northern and the southern flanks.

3. Results

3.1. Physical Properties Around the Palmer Deep Canyon

Giders were able to map many of the key water masses during the austral summer in the WAP shelf and PD region (top plots of Figure 2). The glider profiles over six field seasons identified the Antarctic Surface Water (AASW), Winter Water (WW), and modified Upper Circumpolar Deep Water (mUCDW). The core-UCDW seen immediately offshore of the WAP shelf (1.7 ≤ T ≤ 2.1; 34.54 ≤ S ≤ 34.7, following Martinson et al. [2008]) was not present in the canyon; instead the canyon was characterized by a modified colder and fresher mUCDW water mass. This mUCDW extended to depths below 100 m. A second water mass present in PD was the WW (or Tmin, minimum temperature), defined by T ≤ −1.2°C and 33.85 ≤ S ≤ 34.13. The WW represents the remnants of the mixed-layer water from the previous winter [Martinson et al., 2008] and was found over a range of depths. Above the WW was the AASW (seen in the blue colors of Figure 2). In the canyon, AASW showed a wider range of temperature, salinity, and depth. In both the WAP and PD, this water mass was fresher of all the water masses present. The main differences between the PD and the WAP shelf (PD profiles were excluded from the latter) were the absence of core-UCDW and fresh surface waters at PD. WW was found at greater depths in the WAP compared to the canyon.
We evaluated the relationship between the MLD and chlorophyll depth with a model-2 linear regression (Figures 2c and 2d). In the canyon, the MLD-chlorophyll relationship was close to a 1:1 line with 95% confidence levels with the tightest regression associated with the profiles with the highest stability. Generally the PD had shallower MLD than the WAP. Although more profiles in the WAP fell away from the 1:1 line, there were no significant differences (with a 95% CI) from that line for MLDs below 23 m.

3.2. Coupled Dynamics at Palmer Deep Canyon

3.2.1. Seasonal Climatology of MLD and Chlorophyll

A seasonal climatological analysis of the MLD properties (Figure 3) was conducted by averaging the data between the surface and the corresponding ML for temperature, salinity, and chlorophyll-a fluorescence. Generally, MLD shoaled in December, reaching its shallowest depth \(\text{MLD} = -11 \pm 0.76 \, \text{m}\) in the beginning of January. MLD remained fairly constant (above 20 m) throughout most of January, then started to deepen at the end of this month. The ML in January was generally fresher and colder and as it deepened it became warmer and saltier. Wind speed was fairly constant and low until late January. From then, there was increasing wind speed until the end of the growing season. The summer MLD reached its maximum depth \(\text{MLD} = -52 \pm 0.66 \, \text{m}\) during the first week of February and then started shoaling again in early March.

Both the temperature (Figure 3a) and salinity (Figure 3c) showed a very clear temporal signal. Secondary shoaling of the ML in mid-February was accompanied by a freshening and slight cooling of the ML. The MLD-averaged chlorophyll (Figure 3b) was highest when MLD was shallowest, i.e., throughout January. Going into February, when MLD was deepest, chlorophyll concentrations were low. MLD-averaged chlorophyll showed a direct relationship with MLD \(y = 0.136x + 7.03; r^2 = 0.42; p < 0.0002\), with higher chl-a when MLD is shallow and lower chl-a when deeper. An increase in chlorophyll was observed when MLD shoaled again later in the season. Surface dissolved iron (Fe) concentrations (Figure 3d) at a station 6.5 km from the
canyon head, exhibited an inverse relationship with chlorophyll, reaching maximum values when MLD was deepest. Throughout the season, Fe concentrations at this station never fell below 0.6 nmol kg\(^{-1}\).

The strength of water column stratification at the depth of the ML (max N\(^2\)) was seen to vary through the season (Figure 4). In January, when chlorophyll concentrations were high, the water column was more stable (Figure 4b) and over the season the water column stability decreased. Stability was inversely correlated with salinity (R\(^2\) = -0.77, p < 0.0001), with higher stability associated with shallower MLD and lower salinities (Figure 4a) suggesting the importance of sea ice melt and potentially glacial melt in phytoplankton primary productivity.

### 3.2.2. Cross-Canyon Variability

Four glider deployments, conducted over 1 month, collected high-resolution data across the head of the canyon in PD with the goal of understanding the dynamics of the water masses in the canyon over the summer season. The mission characterized the spatial variability between the northern and southern regions of PD (Figure 1). A temporal and spatial analysis of the \(\theta-S\) plot is shown in Figure 5. The AASW, represented by the shallowest depths (blue), was cold and fresh in the beginning of January. As the month progressed, surface water became warmer and saltier. Winter water (T < -1.2°C), was present in the beginning of January and was found in deeper waters as time progressed. Deeper water (reds) was warmer and saltier in the beginning of January. The AASW was warmer at the beginning of February (Figure 5, last column).

![Figure 4. Water stability in the Palmer Deep region using daily averages: (a) salinity and maximum of stability frequency (max N\(^2\)); (b) seasonal climatology of MLD with max(N\(^2\)). Averages were calculated using 13,972 individual glider profiles collected during 2010–2015 deployments.](image)

![Figure 5. \(\theta-S\) scatter plots from ru05/ud134 gliders, comparing the water masses of Northern (N, top) and Southern (S, bottom) flanks of the head of the Palmer Deep canyon through time (plots left to right). Black dots represent all glider measurements (both areas) for the entire deployment. Color denotes depth of the water column measurement.](image)
Given the importance of ML structure in driving the chlorophyll, the \( \theta-S \) plots in Figure 5 were decomposed into average depth profiles (Figure 6). The average temperature (b plots, middle row) and salinity (c plots, bottom row) depth profiles for each time point, were calculated and then compared between the two regions (blue and red) at the head of the PD canyon. The top row in Figure 6 is for the average distribution and respective standard deviation for the temperature and salinity for each depth and different time periods over the month. The southern region (blue, Figure 6a1) showed overall a wider range in temperature and salinity in the beginning of January. This increased variance was especially marked in AASW, which was characterized by lower salinities. This trend reversed over the month with the northern region of the canyon (red) showing a wider variance in surface water properties (both temperature and salinity). Observed differences were more influenced by temperature (Figures 6b1–6b4) than by salinity (Figures 6c1–6c4). Although surface temperatures were similar between regions, below the MLD, the northern region (red) had consistently lower temperatures (Figures 6b1–6b4) compared to the southern region. Differences of over 0.5°C, sometimes almost up to 1°C, were found at depth on 20 January (Figure 6b2). Both areas showed similar salinity profiles in January. The only salinity differences found were in February and were mostly due to deeper MLDs in the southern region.

The ML-averaged and integrated chlorophyll were calculated for each profile and plotted against its corresponding MLD (Figure 7). Here we define the end of the bloom (21/22 January) by evaluating the evolution of individual profiles of chlorophyll and the change of the trends between MLD and chl-\( a \) through time. This date separated two time periods, one during bloom conditions (blue, from 5 to 21 January) and the second during postbloom conditions (red, from 22 January to 9 February). Bloom conditions were characterized by a clear progression from a moderately shallow (30 m) and highly productive MLD (dark blue) to an even shallower (8 m) and less productive ML (light blue). Both ML-integrated (Figure 7a) and averaged chlorophyll (Figure 7b) showed similar trends. While ML-averaged chlorophyll decreased with the deepening of
the ML and consequent ending of the bloom, ML-integrated chlorophyll increased during this postbloom condition (Figures 7 and 9e). When comparing the two regions (northern-solid line; southern-dashed line), few differences were found. Sustained cross-canyon sampling in 2015 allowed for an analysis for the spatial differences within the canyon. The time-averaged transect (6–28 January 2015) for temperature and salinity is shown in Figures 8a and 8b. While the warm surface layer appears uniform in both regions, a thicker and colder layer (light blue), with a tongue of colder (\(T < -1^\circ{\text{C}}\); dark blue) water at mid depths of 45-70 m was evident in the northern region. The southern region showed warmer and saltier water at depths below the colder layer. A fresher layer was evident in the surface few meters in the northern region. The bottom plot of Figure 8 shows a time-averaged mixed layer depth (blue dotted line) and upper 100 m integrated chlorophyll (solid green line) for each 1 km along the transect line. Northern region was characterized by shallower MLD.
and increased integrated chlorophyll in the upper 100 m of the water column while the southern region showed overall deeper MLD and slightly lower integrated chlorophyll concentrations.

A repeated glider section across the head of the canyon captured the temporal and spatial variability of the phytoplankton (Figure 9). Each glider cross section was interpolated through space and time with a resolution of 500 m and 16 h, respectively. Temporal gaps in Figure 9 correspond to glider recovery and redeployment after battery exchange. The top plot shows bathymetry of the two regions (northern and southern) being fairly symmetrical, going from deeper (~1000 m) depths at the center to shallower depths (~100 m) when moving away from the deep trough.

Again, the temporal signal is the most evident across all five plots. Early in January, the MLD was shallow, colder, and fresher. This period was also characterized by increased chlorophyll (both ML-integrated and averaged chlorophyll). As January progressed, the MLD (Figure 9a) deepened, accompanied by warming (Figure 9b) and increased salinity (Figure 9c) in the upper ML with a decrease in the chlorophyll concentration (Figures 9d and 9e). An increase in ML-integrated chlorophyll (Figure 9e) late in the mission is also present in the climatology (Figure 3).

The magnitude of the spatial variability was less than the temporal variability observed over the entire summer season, yet differences were observed, particularly in the physical properties of the water. The MLD was overall shallower in the northern region. This is especially true for the second and fourth deployments. Warmer temperatures and lower salinities also characterized this region. This pattern was also clear when looking at the homogeneous surface ML later in the season (Figures 6b4 and 6c4).

4. Discussion

The WAP ecosystem is characterized by high interannual phytoplankton variability [Smith et al., 2008], with chlorophyll-a showing a wide range in both time and space [Moline et al., 1997; Montes-Hugo et al., 2008; Smith et al., 1998]. Chlorophyll concentrations are highest near shore with a decreasing gradient moving offshore [Vernet et al., 2008]. The canyons are known hotspots for penguin foraging [Kahl et al., 2010; Oliver et al., 2012; Schofield et al., 2013] with increased chlorophyll compared to coastal regions with shallow
bathymetry [Kavanaugh et al., 2015]. While previous studies have focused on the primary productivity over the entire WAP [Moline and Prezelin, 1996; Montes-Hugo et al., 2010; Prézelin et al., 2004], the high-resolution sampling capabilities introduced with gliders, allowed us to conduct a detailed analysis of the canyon primary production focusing on the physical forcing of the increased production observed over submarine canyons.

4.1. The Seasonal Cycle at Palmer Deep Canyon

4.1.1. Primary Water Masses

A fundamental question regarding phytoplankton dynamics in the region [Schofield et al., 2013] involves the supply of heat and nutrients from the warm, deep water (UCDW) found at depth off the shelf. Canyons provide a conduit for this water to move across the shelf [Martinson et al., 2008]. No direct pathways have been found of ACC-core UCDW onto the Palmer Deep Canyon, so no ACC-core UCDW is present in the canyon, but by looking at $T_{max}$ at depth, we find a modified-UCDW (relatively colder and fresher than pure UCDW) at depth. Because the bulk of the mUCDW is found at deeper depths and the gliders are usually only sampling the upper 100 m of the water column, we are only partially capturing this intrusion onto the canyon. This intrusion however is not observed to reach the euphotic zone until after the growing season. Therefore it is unlikely that it plays an important role in supplying nutrients to primary producers over the canyon during the growing season.

The WW, identified by $T_{min}$ in the profile, was found above mUCDW. This water mass is the remnant surface canyon during the growing season. Therefore it is unlikely that it plays an important role in supplying nutrients to primary producers over the canyon. Only sampling the upper 100 m of the water column, we are only partially capturing this intrusion onto the UCW at depth. Because the bulk of the mUCDW is found at deeper depths and the gliders are usually only sampling the upper 100 m of the water column, we are only partially capturing this intrusion onto the canyon. This intrusion however is not observed to reach the euphotic zone until after the growing season. Therefore it is unlikely that it plays an important role in supplying nutrients to primary producers over the canyon during the growing season.

4.1.2. Phytoplankton Seasonal Dynamics

In the WAP, chlorophyll-a variability has been correlated with local physical forcing such as wind, water column stability, and sea ice [Saba et al., 2014]. The relationship between sea ice dynamics and biological productivity is complex. While decreasing sea ice cover can remove the shading effect of ice resulting in higher productivity, as seen in the southern region of the WAP [Montes-Hugo et al., 2009; Saba et al., 2014], at the same time, the decrease in fresh water input from melting sea ice will result in lower stratification and likely deeper MLDs, which should lead to decreased primary production resulting from decreasing average light levels [Vernet et al., 2008].

The high variability in the timing of the sea ice retreat [Stammerjohn et al., 2008] matches the high variability seen in the MLD (y-axis, Figure 3) in late December. Shallower MLDs in the early growing season show both increased stability (Figure 4) and decreased salinity (Figure 3d). They have been associated with low wind speeds over weekly timescales [Moline, 1998; Moline and Prezelin, 1996], freshwater input from glacial and sea ice melt [Meredith et al., 2008], and surface warming from incoming solar energy. The input of fresh water from glacial and sea ice melting shoals the MLD, increases the stability of the water column [Garibotti et al., 2003] and restricts deep mixing. This creates a stable upper water column in which phytoplankton cells are allowed to remain in a favorable light regime [Garibotti et al., 2003; Vernet et al., 2008]. In addition, the canyon’s proximity to land shelters the canyon head from storms and strong winds seen offshore [Hofmann et al., 1996], helping to maintain the observed shallow and stable MLD. Modeling work by Mitchell and Holm-Hansen [1991] concluded that intense phytoplankton blooms develop when MLD is shallower than 25 m, there is no limitation by nutrients and specific loss rate is $\sim 0.3-0.35 \text{ d}^{-1}$, with grazing and respiration comprising over 2/3 of this loss. Although we do not have direct measurements of nutrients or loss rates at the same time as the glider profiles, our MLD and chlorophyll data match this model, with high concentrations of chlorophyll observed in MLD of 25–30 m or shallower and declining when the MLD is deeper. Note that there was a decrease in ML-averaged chlorophyll when MLD shoals to values close to 10 m (Figure 7), suggesting some photoinhibition processes due to high light or light limitation by self-shading [Moline et al., 1996].

The mechanisms driving the chlorophyll decrease later in the growing season remain an open question. Data show that decreases in ML-averaged chl-a are accompanied by a deepening of the MLD (Figures 3
and 7). Decrease in freshwater input together with increased vertical mixing from wind forcing causes MLD to deepen and water stability to decrease. Another contributor to this decreased water column stability is the warming of WW by vertical mixing with intruding mUCDW from below. The deepening of the ML can decrease the ML-averaged chl-a concentrations by diluting a high concentration of phytoplankton over a larger depth interval; this idea is also supported by the increase in ML-integrated chl-a as MLD deepens (red line; Figure 7a), indicating there are phytoplankton below the MLD. While the deepening of the ML alone could drive down the ML-averaged chl-a concentrations as it also decreases the mean light levels required for phytoplankton photosynthesis [Mitchell and Holm-Hansen, 1991], other factors, such as nutrient limitation and grazing, can also play a role in this decrease. Although gliders do not provide in situ measurements of the nutrient concentrations in the water column, an inspection of historical nutrient data from the LTER Station E (6.5 km NE of the sampled area) shows that no macronutrient limitation is observed throughout the season [Ducklow et al., 2012]. The scarce micronutrient (trace metal) studies in the region make it difficult to evaluate the micronutrient limitation question, especially regarding iron deficiency after a bloom. Iron is known to be a limiting factor controlling primary productivity in the Southern Ocean, mainly due to the lack of efficient supply mechanisms [Boyd et al., 2012]. However, recent studies have shown that regions in close proximity to the coast in Antarctica, such as canyon heads, are not iron limited, and that in certain parts of the WAP there is enough iron to allow the potential utilization of all macronutrients available [Annett et al., 2015]. Surface dissolved Fe:PO4 ratios measured at Station E were always above 1.1 mmol mol⁻¹, much higher than cellular Fe:P~0.2 mmol mol⁻¹ measured in Fe-limited Southern Ocean waters [Twining and Baines, 2013]. In addition, dissolved Fe was always >0.5 nmol/kg (Figure 3), higher than dissolved Fe concentrations ~0.1 nmol kg⁻¹ typical of Fe-limited waters [Sedwick et al., 2008], further supporting our inference that Fe is not limiting phytoplankton production at the head of Palmer Canyon. Increases in surface dissolved Fe concentrations at Station E (Figure 3) are concurrent with the deepening of the ML, indicating a potential source of iron to the surface waters. The presence of WW, acting as a physical barrier between the AASW and mUCDW implies that this Fe source is likely related to vertical mixing from shallow sediments or lateral advection of surface inputs such as glacial meltwater. Losses by grazing are likely a contributing cause of chl-a decline as canyons are known to aggregate zooplankton prey for the apex predators [Bernard and Steinberg, 2013], however, we do not have concurrent zooplankton data to address this question.

The timing of a secondary shoaling of the MLD in late February/early March is matched with a freshening of the ML and a small increase in water column stability. The rising air temperatures in the summer months drive the increased fresh, glacial meltwater input onto the surface coastal waters. Concurrent with this, a secondary peak in chl-a is observed, consistent with previous work by Moline and Prezelin [1996], and a reduction of dissolved Fe to intermediate values, presumably a result of decreased supply from below and increased Fe removal in association with the chl-a increase, balancing the increased supply of Fe from glacial meltwater.

4.2. Palmer Deep Cross-Canyon Spatial Analysis

While most phytoplankton studies in the WAP canyons have focused on the temporal (seasonal and interannual) variability [Kavanaugh et al., 2015; Moline and Prezelin, 1996], little is known about what is driving the high small-scale spatial variability observed in the foraging behavior of penguins [Oliver et al., 2013]. Spatial differences in phytoplankton are also likely to occur as a cyclonic eddy feature is expected to dominate the upper water column circulation over the canyon and to aggregate small nonmigratory species at the head of canyons, particularly at the downstream side of the canyon [Allen et al., 2001].

Preliminary analysis of CODAR High-Frequency Radar (HFR) data at PD [Kohut et al., 2014], which provides surface maps of ocean currents, shows on average for the months of January and February, a strong Northeastward (onshore) current toward the Bismarck Straight that crosses the southern region of this study, with average speeds an order of magnitude faster than the flow that crosses the northern region. On the other hand, although a less prominent feature, a weaker Southeastward (offshore) coastal current crosses the northern flank of the transect. Initial analysis of the mean current standard deviation shows higher variability in the flow that crosses the southern region [Todoroff et al., 2015]. This highly energetic and variable flow can explain the increased variability in the water properties in that region as seen in Figures 5 and 6. This variability decreases with the temporal evolution of the water masses, with surface water becoming warmer and saltier and with WW being warmed both from above and below. Main spatial differences in
water properties can be found at depth, with the northern region showing overall colder temperatures, as
evident by the presence of WW until later in the season. The southern flank shows intrusions of warm, salty,
deep water likely from the onshore current forcing mUCDW onto the shelf that then mixes upward, weak-
ening the signal of WW from below (Figure 8). The northern flank shows a strong presence of winter water
and a fresh water lens that comes from glacial and sea ice melt brought by the coastal current. The differ-
ences in magnitude and the variability of the currents between the two regions are likely to contribute to
the stability of the MLD dynamics on local scales. With less energetic currents, the water in the northern
region is likely to show higher residence times, ideal for local primary production to occur. On the other
hand, southern region mean currents show higher variability and magnitude that can potentially impede
local production to fully thrive as the timescales of the mean currents are shorter than the doubling time of
Antarctic phytoplankton.

Another factor known to control primary production is the availability of iron [Twining and Baines, 2013].
Although there are several potential sources of iron to surface waters (glacial melt, sea-ice melt, seawater
interaction with shallow sediments, atmospheric input and deep water upwelling), glacial meltwater has
been identified as one of the most important [Dierssen et al., 2002; Hawkings et al., 2014], by its volume flux
and because of the continuous yet variable supply during the growing season [Meredith et al., 2008]. The
close proximity of canyon head systems on the WAP to the coast where glaciers are prominent features,
may also contribute favorably to the increased production seen in the canyon as the increased glacial melt-
water input (and pushed by the coastal current) contributes to increased water column stability and is a
potential source of iron to the system [Alderkamp et al., 2015; Annett et al., 2015; Arrigo et al., 2015]. While
mUCDW upwelling enriched with iron from sediments has been proposed as a potential source of iron to
coastal WAP regions [Annett et al., 2015], at Ryder Bay (340 km south of Palmer Deep) it was found to
account for very little of the iron input due to the highly stratified waters during the growth season. It is
however identified as an important source of iron over annual or longer time-scales. The same seems true
for the overall nutrient budget. Glider observations during the austral spring and summer show no evidence
of this mUCDW upwelling reaching surface waters during the growth season as there is a clear layer of WW
physically separating surface waters from the deep waters below while the bloom is present. However,
this water mass is slowly warming throughout the season due to vertical mixing from above and below,
contributing to the decreased water column stability. While there is no evidence of the surface waters at PD
being limited by macro or micronutrients at any point, a drawdown in the nutrient pool is apparent while
the bloom is thriving [Ducklow et al., 2012]. After the growth season, as the stratification weakens, mUCDW
intrusions from below will replenish the surface water with both micro and macronutrients required for the
following year’s spring phytoplankton bloom.

5. Conclusions

Understanding the spatial and temporal variability of phytoplankton is important, especially to assess the
dynamics of higher trophic levels as they are dependent on primary producers for food source. The high-
resolution capabilities of gliders allow sampling and coverage at appropriate scales to evaluate phytoplank-
ton dynamics. Using the 6 year glider observations over PD, we were able to describe the fine temporal and
spatial variability of the phytoplankton seasonal cycle and relate it to its main physical drivers, namely MLD
and water stability. Although interannual variability was observed in the data, the shoaling of the MLD in
late spring matching increased chlorophyll concentration was a pattern observed in all years sampled
(2010–2015), as more light becomes available to the phytoplankton community. Following this period, a
summer (February) deepening of the MLD was accompanied by decreased chlorophyll concentration.

Observations showed that MLD dynamics and chlorophyll variability were tightly coupled in both time and
space. Spatial variability was evaluated by glider transects across the head of the canyon. While MLD
dynamics was similar in the northern and southern canyon regions, the physical setting observed in diffe-
rent regions of the canyon, such as water column stratification and water masses present, explain some of
the observed chlorophyll variability. Preliminary analysis of surface currents provides an insight on what
could be driving some of the observed differences in water column structure that are key for phytoplankton
development. The northern region with increased chlorophyll showed a more coastal influence, with
increased freshwater input, slower currents, and increased stratification, while the southern region with
lower chlorophyll showed more influence from offshore with faster currents and more intrusions of mUCDW from below. However, further sampling and analysis is necessary to evaluate whether water column physics is driving the spatial differences in chlorophyll concentrations alone or if iron supply plays a role in the system at any point in the growth season.
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