

Monday, April 11, 2011

The view of the Mid-Atlantic Bight ecosystem from the COOL room

Oscar Schofield, Scott Glenn, Josh Kohut

along w/ collaborators (100s) from Rutgers, WHOI, UNC, U. Maryland, U. Mass., Cal Poly, U Delaware, NRL, Scripps, JPL, MIT, Lamont, U. Florida, USGS, MBARI, Stevens, U Conn

Grad students & Postdocs: Gong, Zhang, Kahl, Gryzmski, Bergmann, Miles, Xu, Durski, Oliver, Sipler, Garzio, Tozzi, Moline, Saba, Montes-Hugo













S. Glenn Physics



O. Schofield Biology



Phys/Bio



R. Chant Physics



C. Haldeman



### Gliders



T. Haskins



E. Handel CODAR

H. Roarty















C. Kohut





H.Arango L. Bowers D. Robertson

### The Current Team



R. Dunk Education Physics





M. Gorbunov Biology





U. Kremer Modeling Comp. Sci. D. Pompili Engineer



L. Ojanen **Satellites** 



### Software



I. Heifetz



E. Hunter



M. Crowley

Education





S. Lichtenwalner C. Ferraro











### **Undergrad & Grad Students**



### Diverse funding with an evolving suite of questions Shelf transport, land/ocean Ecosystem dynamics, climate Upwelling, hypoxia & coastal predictive skill scale mediated change communication

Schofield et al 2002 Glenn & Schofield 2003



SST CHL CHL

1996-2001 Local scale observatories

-75

-76



Glenn & Schofield 2009





### 2001-2006 **Regional scale observatories**

Large marine ecosystem observatories



GERAUDINIS R.













Monday, April 11, 2011

### **River inflow**

### Modified Labrador Current

### Cold Pool

Deepocean inflow





dominates the hydrography of the shelf.

Temperature stratification extreme, 25 to 8 degrees in a only three meters

> Salinity gradients show inshore and offshore







### Seasonal dynamics in the hydrography

















3

©CHRISLINDER



# MID-ATLANTIC BIGHT IS A PRODUCTIVE ECOSYSTEM<br/>(decade of satellite imagery)WinterSpringSummerFall



### 2006

### 2001

### 999

Monday, April 11, 2011



Dynamics in phytoplankton variance is described by 2 modes. Mode 1 occurs in the winter on the inner shelf. Mode 2 occurs in spring on the outer shelf. Summer phytoplankton explain little of the shelf-wide variance however is extremely important to the nearshore coastal ecosystems



Two major EOF modes

Monday, April 11, 2011



### % of Variance explained by the two major EOF modes as a function of space



4\*1024\*102

sea surface

### december

chlorophyll (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

3

january



### december

january

Monday, April 11, 2011

Mode I: Largest and most recurrent bloom. Occurs during the dimmest months of the year which is interesting.

Mode 2: The canonical spring bloom which occurs prior to strong shelf stratification.

Schofield et al. 2008



### Why does the Winter bloom extend to ~50 m depth?

EOF 1 (~50% of the total water column populations are above the 1% light level) Mean depth Max Ch

Min Ch

% water co

Calculated using the mean chlorophyll concentrations and using Hydrolight radiative transfer equations

Parameter	EOF I	EOF 2
Mean Chl <u>a</u>	1.7	0.7
Max Chl <u>a</u>	4.9	<b>2.</b> I
Min Chl a	0.6	0.2
h Chl <u>a</u> 1% Light Level	20	33
nl <u>a</u> 1% Light Level	12	27
nl <u>a</u> 1% Light Level	36	55
lumn in euphotic zone	49%	17 (5)%







### What are the drivers of the winter blooms? Rivers can contribute chlorophyll biomass shelves





### What are the drivers of the winter blooms? Rivers can contribute chlorophyll biomass shelves





### What are the drivers of the winter blooms? Rivers can contribute chlorophyll biomass shelves





### Spring Bloom Magnitude

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Regional Ocean Modeling System 5 km grid resolution, 36 vertical layers Model run in Hindcast run (4 year run 2004-2008)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

- •Wind
- Long&short wave radiation
- Precipitation
- •Rain
- •Humidity
- •Air temperature
- •River
- •Tides

![](_page_18_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Biological Modeling System**

Model assumes N is the main limiting

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Light limitation

$$f(I) = \frac{\alpha I}{\sqrt{\left(\mu_{\max}^2 + \alpha^2 I^2\right)}}$$

### **Nutrient limitation**

$$_{NO3} = \frac{NO3}{K_{NO3} + NO3} + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1 + \frac{NH4}{K_{NH4}}}{\frac{1}{K_{NH4}}}\right)}$$

$$L_{NH4} = \frac{NH4}{K_{NH4} + NH4}$$

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

 $\mu = \mu_{\max} \bullet f(I) \bullet (L_{NO3} + L_{NH4})$ 

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

Numerical experiment: Meas No wind condition, later bloom months, but integrated production

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Numerical experiment: Measured wind and no wind in Zone 1

# No wind condition, later bloom, larger bloom during darkest winter months, but integrated productivity over the winter is small by XXX%

### Yi et al submitted

![](_page_22_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

chlorophyll (mg/m<sup>3</sup>)

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

### CZCS (1978-1986) and SeaWiFs (1998-2007)

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

### CZCS (1978-1986) and SeaWiFs (1998-2007)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_4.jpeg)

### CZCS (1978-1986) and SeaWiFs (1998-2007)

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

Season	1978-1986	1998-2006	Difference	% Change
Spring	2.52	2.74	0.21	8
Summer	1.73	2.02	0.29	14
Fall	3.89	2.73	-1.16	-43
Winter	3.61	2.80	-0.81	-29
Total	13.00	11.35	-1.66	-14

![](_page_29_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Declines in the Fall Bloom?** From ECMWF reanalysis (single gird point)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Declines in the Winter Bloom?**

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_3.jpeg)

### **Declines in the Winter Bloom?**

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

color L D L Ē

### AMO Index

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

### duration of shelf stratification

annual phytoplankton biomass

![](_page_32_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

Manasquan Inlet, NJ Star Ledger

### 1978-1986 CZCS Stations with water depth = 40-100 m

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

Fall

![](_page_34_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

### NOAA Integrated Ocean Observing System (IOOS)

### MARCOOS

NWS WFOs
Std Radar Sites
Mesonet Stations
LR HF Radar Sites
Glider AUV Tracks
USCG SLDMB Tracks
NDBC Offshore Platforms
CODAR Daily Average Currents MY INTERESTS: USING THE OOI & IOOS NETWORK (CINAR?) TO DEFINE THE INTERFACE OF MIXING AND SEASONAL LIGHT

To test the software, OOI used an existing ocean network. The test engaged scientists from across the country who used the software to enable their science. For OOI, the network provided an ideal "real world" test

Participating Institutions: Rutgers, MIT, Scripps, Wood Hole, NRL, U Mass, U Maryland, JPL, WHOI, Cal Poly, U Del, Stevens, OSU, USGS, NOAA, NURC, NWS (~125 scientists & engineers)

![](_page_36_Picture_7.jpeg)

### COORDINATE THE EXPERIMENT THROUGH THE COOL ROOM, BUT THE EXPERIMENT INCLUDES A GEOGRAPHICALLY DISTRIBUTED GROUP OF LABS

![](_page_37_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_2.jpeg)

HF Radar

![](_page_37_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Satellites

3D Now-& Forecasts

- Objective: To provide a real oceanographic test bed in which the designed CI technologies will support field operations of ships and mobile platforms, aggregate data from fixed platforms, shorebased radars, and satellites and offer these data streams to data assimilative forecast models.
- Goal: To use multi-model forecasts to guide glider deployment and coordinate satellite observing.

![](_page_37_Picture_12.jpeg)

## Idea of Test (May 2009) Virtual Test (Sep 2009) Wet Test (Nov 2009)

![](_page_38_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Scientists were distributed throughout the country & interacted in real-time

![](_page_39_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Picture_3.jpeg)

Skip to Content Home Log in

### ARCHIVE FOR THE 'MIDDLE ATLANTIC BIGHT' CATEGORY

Previous Entries

Suffee Tespecture

### 10 Update on a cloudy Tuesday, but it is sunny in our heads..

Posted by: Oscar in: Espresso & Biospace, Middle Atlantic Bight, NORUS

We had a great telecon yesterday. I look forward to another great call today! The decision was to conduct two experiments. The first experiment which was championed by Pierre was to send one glider North to survey the Hudson Canyon which shows some interesting features. Pierre's plan and reasoning was laid out in some figures which I have posted below.

OOI-0SSE09: Hudson Valley Adaptive Sampling Plan Pierre Lermusiaux et al. 2009

### Atlantic Crossing Website

### I-COOL Mission Blogs

- Atlantic Crossing
- LTER Antarctic Summer
- Middle Atlantic Bight
- > Thermal Glider Flight
- > Undergraduate Operations

### Historic Blogs

- Across the Pond
- Espresso & Biospace
- Flight to Halifax
- > NORUS
- > NURC Med Cruise 09 > Spain Summer 2008

### Data Portal

### CI OSSE Field Experiment

The Cyberinfrastructure (CI) component of the Ocean Observing System (OOI) will conduct an Observing System Simulation Experiment (OSSE) to test the capabilities of the OOI CI to support field operations in a distributed ocean observatory in the Mid-Atlantic Bight. (more)

### Executive Summary of 11/11/2009

November 2009

Su M T W Th F S

01 02 03 04 05 06 0

08 09 10 11 12 13 14

15 16 17 18 19 20 21

22 23 24 25 26 27 28

29 30

Observation

In-Situ
 In-Situ
 Satellite
 HF Radar

💛 6-km

O NAM

Ocean Forecast

NYHOPS

COAWST

Data vs Mode

U SST

Atmosphere Forcing

U HOPS-PE\_SHELF

ROMS-ESPreSSO

HF Radar 6-km Gilder Profiles

Ensemble Forecast

Equal Weighting

Locations & Path

Hyperion hyperspectra

Earth Observing-1

Objective Weighting

 $\ll$  < >  $\gg$ 

Blended with gap

Winds have increased out of the north and northeast to over 20 knots as forecast vesterday by the NAN model. These winds are forecast to continue through Thursday with some further increase in strength. Excellent SST images are obtained again on Monday, including data from the microwave sensors, A four-band structure is again seen in the blended SST field and also in each of the individual satellite sensor observations. SST comparisons consistently suggest a band of warm model bias at the shelf break, probably due to the mislocation of the SST front there. The HF radar data for yesterday, though a bit sparse, suggest a northeastward flow on the southern shelf, and an offshore flow (toward the southeast) in the northern part of the domain. While the equally weighted ensemble forecast shows only very weak offshore flow in the north, the objectively weighted ensemble forecast reproduces this feature somewhat better. The objectively weighted ensemble forecast also shows better agreement with the glider salinity profiles than the equally weighted ensemble forecast.

Click here to view a more detailed CI daily summary.

Recent locations for the observational assets during the last 24 hours are shown below

![](_page_39_Figure_32.jpeg)

### Location of Assets 20091111

![](_page_39_Picture_36.jpeg)

### Exciting the Next Generation: Data live to undergraduates and students helped deploy the tools

![](_page_40_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_3.jpeg)

CAPE HATTERAS

Documenting the efforts: Scripps document the efforts with Rutgers English Students

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Weather Forecasts

![](_page_42_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Weather Forecasts

![](_page_43_Picture_4.jpeg)

5 different satellite sensors

![](_page_44_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_5.jpeg)

5 ocean numerical models run in forecast mode:

2 versions of ROMS 2 versions of HOPs I version of POM

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_2.jpeg)

Scientists could compare observations (single platform or means) with models (individual or means)

![](_page_46_Picture_5.jpeg)

### The same for in situ measurements

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Discussion during experiment develops new tools during the experiment

![](_page_48_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Observation model comparisons spurred discussion on tools for synthesis

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Ensemble mean model

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_4.jpeg)

-75.5 -75 -74.5 -74 -73.5 -73 -72.5 -72 -71.5 -71 -70.5 -70

# Variance in *u* velocity component

### Variance in v velocity component

![](_page_50_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

Known constraints (slow 0.5 knot, Battery, shipping lanes)

Uncertain constraints (timevarying 3D currents)

Operate autonomously & re-plan daily

![](_page_51_Figure_6.jpeg)

- From A to B in the shortest time
- Follow a time-varying feature (shelf-slope salinity intrusion)

![](_page_51_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Scientific community

![](_page_52_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

### Marine operators

![](_page_52_Picture_7.jpeg)

					_				
November 🔷 2009									
	Su	M	Т	W	Th	F	S		
	01	<u>02</u>	<u>03</u>	04	<u>05</u>	06	07		
	<u>08</u>	<u>09</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	13	14		
	15	16	17	18	19	20	21		
	22	23	24	25	26	27	28		
	29	30							
	« < > »								

Gliders H RU05

Observation

NYHOPS

COAWST

Observation

NYHOPS

COAWST

Observation

- RU23

RU21

😑 Eq. Weight Ensemble

📃 Obj. Weight Ensemble

HOPS-PE\_SHELF

ROMS-ESprESSO

Eq. Weight Ensemble

😑 Obj. Weight Ensemble

HOPS-PE\_SHELF

BROMS-ESprESSO

😑 Eq. Weight Ensemble

📃 Obj. Weight Ensemble

Gliders are retasked on 24-hour cycles. Each daily glider planning session produces a 24-48 hour trajectory that is designed to optimize travel time toward the operators' chosen destination. more...

![](_page_53_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Glider Planning and Prosecution

![](_page_53_Picture_7.jpeg)

Distributed decision making using live web service tools

![](_page_54_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_55_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

How well did we do?

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

### High resolution underwater planning

• Smart robots

 Distributed control

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO

![](_page_57_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_58_Picture_3.jpeg)

ru21 Current Waypoint

Last Surfacing

ent Location Deployment Location

Deployment Location

Deployment Location

42 km

Data SIO, NOAA, U.S. Navy, NGA, GEBCO Image © 2009 DigitalGlobe

ast Surfacing

### Hyperion on EO-I 7.5 km by 100 km (30 m resolution)

Last Surfacing EOTH0130322009311110PF\_PF1\_01

© ru23 Current Waypoint

Last Surfacing

Image USDA Farm Service Agency 39°16'57.28" N 73°28'14.47" W elev -45 m

Google

Eye alt 145.59 km 🔘 //

![](_page_59_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Data from the deployment

![](_page_61_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Nor' Easter

![](_page_61_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_7.jpeg)

Technical Accomplishments A closed machine to machine adaptive sensor net spanning satellites to underwater robots

Observation network coupled to ensemble ocean model systems

Improved science and observatory decision making

Science Accomplishments

Special issue of Continental Shelf Research in which 4 publications utilize the OOI OSE data.

OOI OSE data central to two PhD theses (one biologist and one physicist)

OOI OSE data utilized in special issue of Marine technological Society special issue (Nov 2010) and highlighted in EOS (Sep 2010)

![](_page_62_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Picture_0.jpeg)

Technology will give us a grand new day Thank you

![](_page_63_Picture_4.jpeg)